

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/743,731	04/25/2001	John Smit	08106-004001	7587	
7590 05/17/2006			EXAMINER		
FRANK S. Di		STEADMAN, DAVID J			
SCULLY,SCOTT,MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			1656		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/743,731	SMIT, JOHN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David J. Steadman	1656		

Before the filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	ĺ		
	David J. Steadman	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 March 2006</u>. A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the		
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>see attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4			(DTOL 204)		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,		_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 9-13</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13.		DA			
		David J. Steadman Primary Examiner	, Ph.D.		

Art Unit: 1656

Application/Control Number: 09/743,731 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

ADVISORY ACTION

- [1] The request for reconsideration in the reply filed on 5/10/2006 has been considered, however, the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. While the amendment would appear to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, of the Office action mailed on 9/16/2005, the amendment to the claims has not been entered because the claims as amended raise new issues requiring further consideration and a new search. See MPEP § 714.13.
- Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to limit the *C. crescentus* S-layer protein to one comprising "the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:5." This limitation has yet to be presented for consideration and thus, the amendment to the claims would require further consideration and a new search. Applicant may argue that the amendment filed on 6/27/2005 limited the S-layer protein to SEQ ID NO:5. However, as noted in the prior Office action, claim 13 limited the S-layer protein to comprising "the amino acid set forth in SEQ ID NO:5," and claim 13 has been broadly interpreted as meaning that "the S-layer protein comprises *any single* amino acid that is present in the sequence of SEQ ID NO:5" (emphasis in original). Thus, claim 13 does not limit the S-layer protein to SEQ ID NO:5.
- [3] Applicant's arguments in the amendment filed on 5/10/2006 have been fully considered. However, in view of the non-entry of the amendment, applicant's arguments are not found persuasive to overcome the outstanding rejection(s) as set forth in the 9/16/2005 Office action for the reasons of record stated therein.
- [4] In view of the non-entry of the amendment, the claim status is as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/743,731

Art Unit: 1656

Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are pending.

Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected.

No claim is in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Steadman, Ph.D.

Page 3

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1656